SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, JULY 7, 2015 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S226600 HERRIOTT (ALICJA) v.

COURT OF APPEAL, SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT (HERRIOTT)

Vexatious litigant application denied

The application of petitioner for leave to file Petition for Writ of Mandate is hereby denied.

S227452 BERNIER (REJEANNE M.) v.

COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION ONE (TRAVELERS PROPERTY INSURANCE COMPANY)

Vexatious litigant application denied

The application of petitioner for leave to file Petition for Writ of Mandate is hereby denied.

S227596 BERNIER (REJEANNE M.) v.

COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION ONE (DEARN LAW GROUP)

Vexatious litigant application denied

The application of petitioner for leave to file Petition for Writ of Mandate is hereby denied.

S226338 B263369 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 TARANGO (MICHAEL) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 7, 2015.

S226342 B248671 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. SEDILLO (LISA)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 7, 2015.

S226387 B250369 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **PEOPLE v. SOTO (ANTONIO)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 10, 2015.

S226420 A141374 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. ASHLEY (HORACE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 11, 2015.

S226426 B252424 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. ROMANSKY (JERRY LEE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 13, 2015.

S226440

B251811 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF

v. WILLIAMSBURG

NATIONAL INSURANCE

COMPANY

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 12, 2015.

S226474 D066961 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. DURNIN (THOMAS CHARLES)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to August 13, 2015.

S134792 PEOPLE v. HUGHES (MERVIN RAY)

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant for relief from default for the failure to timely file appellant's request for extension of time is granted.

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 31, 2015.

WILSON (ANDRE GERALD) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Xiomara Costello's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by October 6, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to September 8, 2015. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

S170001

PEOPLE v. WATTA (BENJAMIN WAYNE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Jonathan P. Milberg's representation that the appellant's opening brief is anticipated to be filed by January 5, 2016, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 8, 2015. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S175851

PEOPLE v. RICES (JEAN PIERRE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Alana Cohen Butler's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by December 15, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 4, 2015. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 102 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S189049

TATE (GREGORY O'NEIL) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Sara Turner's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by December 15, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to September 4, 2015. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 102 additional days are contemplated.

THOMAS (ALEX DALE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Sharon E. Loughner's representation that the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is anticipated to be filed by July 31, 2015, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to July 31, 2015. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S218247

LANCASTER (MARCUS) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to the informal response is extended to August 25, 2015. No further extensions of time will be granted.

S218497 B238867 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

CENTINELA FREEMAN EMERGENCY MEDICAL ASSOCIATES v. HEALTH NET OF CALIFORNIA, INC.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent, Blue Cross of California, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the response to amicus curiae is extended to July 29, 2015.

S219567 A138949 First Appellate District, Div. 1

WHEATHERFORD (CHERRITY) v. CITY OF SAN RAFAEL

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the response to amicus curiae is extended to August 13, 2015.

S227022

PEOPLE v. LEVENS (KASSANDRA)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to July 11, 2015.

S225626 E059227 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. TAYLOR (TANYA FELICIA)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Martin Kassman is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S225917 B254016 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. CEREZO (JOE L.)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, John Derrick is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S226416 B256411 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 IN RE R.T.

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant Lisa E. for appointment of counsel, Nancy Brucker is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

Your attention is directed to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, for the briefing requirements. Please note also that, because this is a child dependency case, the court may grant an extension of time only on "an exceptional showing of good cause." (Code Civ. Proc., § 45; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.454.)

S226425 H041059 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. DELGADO (SALVADOR TERONES)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the Sixth District Appellate Program is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S226523 H040757 Sixth Appellate District WILLOVER (NORMAN) ON H.C.

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of petitioner for appointment of counsel, Lawrence Gibbs is hereby appointed to represent petitioner on the appeal now pending in this court.

S227589 C063680 Third Appellate District DUNIGAN (KEVIN WAYNE) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District.

ZACKS-CARNEY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that EUGENIA YEHUDIT ZACKS-CARNEY, State Bar Number 181811, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

EUGENIA YEHUDIT ZACKS-CARNEY must make restitution to the following payees:

- (1) Peter Zacks in the amount of \$944.77 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 23, 2009; and
- (2) Lily Carballo in the amount of \$1,929.78 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 23, 2009.

Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

EUGENIA YEHUDIT ZACKS-CARNEY must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S226130

YOUNGE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MICHAEL ANTHONY YOUNGE, State Bar Number 170929, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. MICHAEL ANTHONY YOUNGE must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 10, 2015; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if MICHAEL ANTHONY YOUNGE has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

MICHAEL ANTHONY YOUNGE must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2016, 2017, and 2018. If MICHAEL ANTHONY YOUNGE fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

WEISSMAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that MARC S. WEISSMAN, State Bar Number 108128, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

MARC S. WEISSMAN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S226132

McBEATH ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that CARLA RUTH McBEATH, State Bar Number 106047, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. CARLA RUTH McBEATH must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S226134

GRANVILLE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that BRANDON BURNETT GRANVILLE, State Bar Number 268302, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. BRANDON BURNETT GRANVILLE must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 2, 2015; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if BRANDON BURNETT GRANVILLE has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

BRANDON BURNETT GRANVILLE must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2016 and 2017. If BRANDON BURNETT GRANVILLE fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S226137

BAADE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that DAVID ROBERT BAADE, State Bar Number 46509, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

DAVID ROBERT BAADE must make restitution to the following payees:

- (1) Shu Chen Lin in the amount of \$311,224.34 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 1, 2005; and
- (2) Christy Futak in the amount of \$2,337.11 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 27, 2012.

Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

DAVID ROBERT BAADE must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S226140

ANYIAM ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that CHRISTIAN UCHECHUKWU ANYIAM, State Bar Number 217326, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. CHRISTIAN UCHECHUKWU ANYIAM is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. CHRISTIAN UCHECHUKWU ANYIAM must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on January 24, 2014; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if CHRISTIAN UCHECHUKWU ANYIAM has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

CHRISTIAN UCHECHUKWU ANYIAM must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,211)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)